



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/558,920

04/26/2000

DAVID REGAN

60021-357601

1724

29838

7590

02/11/2008

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP
PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300
45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609

EXAMINER

THEIN, MARIA TERESA T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/558,920	Applicant(s) REGAN, DAVID	
	Examiner MARISSA THEIN	Art Unit 3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 26, 2007 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's "Request for Continued Examination" filed on November 26, 2007 has been considered.

Claims 19, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34-35 are amended. Claims 19-36 remain pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 19

recites “electronically storing a receipt record of the filed tax form in a government database of the government entity substantially immediately upon completed transmittal of the tax form, wherein the receipt record represents an indication that the filed tax form has been submitted and wherein the receipt record includes information regarding the status of the filed tax form and is distinct from the filed tax form” is not described in the specification. Claim 28 recites “a code segment that electronically stores a receipt record of the filed tax form in a government database of the government entity substantially immediately upon completed transmittal of the tax form, wherein the receipt record represents an indication that the filed tax form has been submitted and wherein the receipt record includes information regarding the filed tax form and is distinct from the filed tax form” is not described in the specification.

Claims 20-27 and 29-36 depend on claims 19 and 28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article “WebTurboTax Brings The Full Power of TurboTax to the Web” (WebTurboTax) in view of the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rev. Proc.

99-39 and in further view of the article “Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing”.

Regarding claims 19 and 28, the article WebTurbotax discloses a method and a computer program comprising: maintaining an electronically stored profile for a user in a customer database, wherein the profile identifies an expected tax form the user is expected to file and wherein the customer database is in communication with a taxpayer server via a network (paragraphs 4-6; Smart Interview System includes Interview Navigator, customized to each user's unique tax return and builds a customized topic list as the taxpayer travel through the interview [paragraph 5]; Because no software installation is necessary, users can log on to WebTurboTax from anywhere, anytime, to prepare their taxes; Taxpayers simply create a secure user name and password, which allows them to access their return from any computer [paragraph 6]); prompting the user, on a client computer, for additional tax-related data, wherein the additional tax-related data is not included in the profile and wherein the additional tax-related data is required for completing the expected tax form (paragraphs 4-6); receiving on the taxpayer server the additional tax-related data from the user (paragraphs 4-6); electronically completing a selected tax form, wherein completing the selected tax form includes automatically filing out the selected form based on the profile and the additional tax-related data (paragraphs 4-6); filing the completed selected tax form with a government entity, wherein the completed selected tax form is electronically transmitted from the taxpayer server to the government entity across the network to be a filed tax form (paragraphs 8-9; electronic filing is available at no charge for all Federal

returns....Taxpayers who file electronically receive proof from the IRS, and electronic data and stamp, that their return was received on time [paragraph 9]).

However, the article WebTurboTax does not explicitly disclose electronically storing a receipt record of the filed tax form in a government database of the government entity substantially immediately upon completed transmittal of the tax form, wherein the receipt record represents an indication that the filed tax form has been submitted and wherein the receipt record includes information regarding the status of the filed tax form and is distinct from the filed tax form; receiving from the user a request of the receipt record of the filed tax form to verify the filing to the completed selected tax form; authenticating an identity of the user utilizing the network to request the receipt record; and sending the receipt record of the filed tax form to the user across the network; and digital certificate. WebTurboTax does disclose the preparing and filing of Federal and all state tax returns (paragraph 1). WebTurboTax also discloses taxpayers who file electronically receive proof from the IRS, an electronic data and time stamp, that their return was received on time (paragraph 9). WebTurboTax discloses Internet security and uses the latest in SSL encryption technology to design a secure system to protect taxpayer's returns, and all personal information associated with them, during preparation and transmission (paragraph 8).

The United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rev. Proc. 99-39, on the other hand, teaches electronically storing a receipt record of the filed tax form in a government database of the government entity substantially immediately upon completed transmittal of the tax form, wherein the receipt record represents an

indication that the filed tax form has been submitted and wherein the receipt record includes information regarding the status of the filed tax form and is distinct from the filed tax form (page 536, Section 9.03 "An Electronic Filer that is a Transmitter" (1) retrieve the acknowledgement file (in which the Service states whether it accepts or rejects the electronic portion of the taxpayer's return for processing) (2) match the acknowledgment file to the original transmission file and send on-line filer either an acceptance notice or a rejection notice); receiving from the user a request for the receipt record of the filed tax form to verify the filing to the completed selected tax form (page 536, Sec. 9.03); authenticating an identity of the user utilizing the network to receipt request the receipt record; (Page 536, Section 9.02, an electronic filer or on-line filer must comply with the following applicable userid/password and PIN requirements); and sending the receipt record of the filed tax form to the user across the network to the client (page 536, Section 9.03).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the article WebTurboTax, to include electronically storing a receipt record of the filed tax form in a government database of the government entity substantially immediately upon completed transmittal of the tax form, wherein the receipt record represents an indication that the filed tax form has been submitted and wherein the receipt record includes information regarding the status of the filed tax form and is distinct from the filed tax form; receiving from the user a request of the receipt record of the filed tax form to verify the filing to the completed selected tax form; authenticating an identity of the user utilizing the network to request

the receipt record; and sending the receipt record of the filed tax form to the user across the network; as taught by, the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Announcement 99-39, in order to provide acknowledgment of files received and notification of acceptance or notification (the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Announcement 99-39, page 536 Sec. 9.03).

Furthermore, the article "Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing" teaches the digital certificate (paragraph 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the article WebTurboTax, to include digital certificate, as taught by article "Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing", to provide stronger security for access control and confidentiality and authentication of internal and external communications (article "Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing", paragraph 3).

Claims 20-24 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article "WebTurboTax Brings The Full Power of TurboTax to the Web" (WebTurboTax) and the United States, Internal Revenue Rev. Proc. 99-39 and the article "Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing" as applied to claims 1 and 28 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,202,052 to Miller.

Regarding claims 20-24 and 29-33, the combination of article "WebTurboTax Brings The Full Power of TurboTax to the Web" (WebTurboTax) and the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rev. Proc. 99-39 and the article "Internal Revenue Service

Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing” substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, the combination does not explicitly disclose receiving a tax payment of the user by the government entity utilizing the network; storing a receipt record of the tax payment in the database of the government entity; receiving from the user a request for the receipt record of the tax payment utilizing the network; and sending the receipt record of the tax payment to the user; and Internet. The combination does disclose the preparing and filing of Federal and all state tax returns (WebTurboTax paragraph 1). The combination also discloses taxpayers who file electronically receive proof from the IRS, an electronic data and time stamp, that their return was received on time (WebTurboTax paragraph 9). The system provides Internet security and uses the latest in SSL encryption technology to design a secure system to protect taxpayer’s returns, and all personal information associated with them, during preparation and transmission (WebTurboTax paragraph 8).

Miller, on the other hand, teaches receiving a tax payment of the user by the government entity utilizing the network **[claims 20 and 29]**; storing a receipt record of the tax payment in the database of the government entity **[claims 21 and 30]**; receiving from the user a request for the receipt record of the tax payment utilizing the network **[claims 22 and 31]**; and sending the receipt record of the tax payment to the user **[claims 23 and 32]** (col. 7, lines 11-41; col. 7, line 61 – col. 8, line 7); and Internet **[claims 24 and 33]** (col. 6, line 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the combination, to include receiving a tax

payment of the user by the government entity utilizing the network; storing a receipt record of the tax payment in the database of the government entity; receiving from the user a request for the receipt record of the tax payment utilizing the network; and sending the receipt record of the tax payment to the user; and Internet, as taught by Miller, in order to reduce error in and the cost associated with filing tax returns (Miller, col. 3, lines 14-15).

Claims 25-26 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article “WebTurboTax Brings The Full Power of TurboTax to the Web” (WebTurboTax) and the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rev. Proc. 99-39 and the article “Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing” as applied to claims 1 and 28 above, and further in view of the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rec. Proc. 98-51.

Regarding claims 25-26 and 34-35, the combination of article “WebTurboTax Brings The Full Power of TurboTax to the Web” (WebTurboTax) and the United States, Internal Revenue Rev. Proc. 99-39 and the article “Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing” substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, the combination does not explicitly disclose the step of formatting and the step of sending a notification to a mail server. The combination does disclose the preparing and filing of Federal and all state tax returns (WebTurboTax paragraph 1). The combination also discloses taxpayers who file electronically receive proof from the IRS, an electronic data and time stamp, that their return was received on time (WebTurboTax paragraph 9). The system provides Internet security and uses the latest in SSL

encryption technology to design a secure system to protect taxpayer's returns, and all personal information associated with them, during preparation and transmission (WebTurboTax paragraph 8). Furthermore, the combination discloses digital certificates which serves as non-forgable electronic identity badges for users to access government services and benefit information on-line and provide confidentiality and authentication of internal and external communication including e-mail, electronic form and a broad variety of other applications (the article "Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing", paragraph 3).

The United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rec. Proc. 98-51, on the other hand, teaches receiving the step of formatting (page 24, Section 5. Responsibilities of An On-line Filer; .10 (5) ensures that its software contains...format that can be printed and used by a taxpayer) and the step of sending a notification to a mail server (page 21, Section 3. On-line Filing Participants- Definition, (e) a client base that has the ability to communicate using electronic mail).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the combination, to include the step of formatting and the step of sending a notification to a mail server, as taught by the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rec. Proc. 98-51, in order to ensure that complete returns are accurately and efficiently filed (United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rec. Proc. 98-51, page 23, Section 5. 01) and communicated.

Claims 27 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article "WebTurboTax Brings The Full Power of TurboTax to the Web"

(WebTurboTax) and the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rev. Proc. 99-39 and the article “Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing” as applied to claims 1 and 28 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,571,221 to Stewart et al.

Regarding claims 27 and 36, the combination of article “WebTurboTax Brings The Full Power of TurboTax to the Web” (WebTurboTax) and the United States, Internal Revenue Bulletin Rev. Proc. 99-39 and the article “Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing” substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, the combination does not explicitly disclose an identity of the user includes storing the digital certificate on a computer of the user. The combination discloses a system which provides Internet security and uses the latest in SSL encryption technology to design a secure system to protect taxpayer’s returns, and all personal information associated with them, during preparation and transmission (WebTurboTax paragraph 8). Furthermore, the combination discloses digital certificates which serves as non-forgeable electronic identity badges for users to access government services and benefit information on-line and provide confidentiality and authentication of internal and external communication including e-mail, electronic form and a broad variety of other applications (the article “Internal Revenue Service Selects VeriSign to Secure Electronic Tax Filing”, paragraph 3).

Stewart, on the other hand, teaches an identity of the user includes storing the digital certificate on a computer of the user (col. 2, lines 57-61).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the combination, to include an identity of the user includes storing the digital certificate on a computer of the user, as taught by Stewart, in order to authenticate users and perform secure transactions (Stewart, col. 1, lines 19-20).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARISSA THEIN whose telephone number is (571)272-6764. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mtot
February 3, 2008

/Michael Cuff/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3627